

# Bank Street Conversations

As at 22/05/2020 12:43:44 PM

Stakeholder **Shane Knight**

Type: **Dragon Boat Clubs**

Interactio 7/11/2019 2:30:00 PM

Topic Onsite meeting

Met with Shane on-site to inform him that we needed to appropriate some of the DBs leased land for construction at Bank St. He said he would like to organise the DB Club presidents to hear it from us direct.

## Follow up

Meeting to be organised for next Tuesday (Shane will do this) wher we can present to, and meet, the DB Club Presidents.

Due

12/11/2019

By SK

Done?



We met with DB Club Presidents and presented to them. All seemed fine.

12/11/2019 AM



Stakeholder **Judy Kalnins**

Type: **Resident**

Interactio 14/11/2019

Topic Late notice and parking

Judy emailed (and later called) about receiving her notification about the work late. She said she only had one day's notice. (This is related to secure internal letterboxes, which I am planning to solve.) She also wanted to know about parking on the finished site.

## Follow up

Emailed back and explained there was no parking and apologised about the late notice

Due

16/11/2019

By AM

Done?



Judy rang the project number and spoke to Evonne McCabe (the phone number was redirecting to the wrong number). Evonne followed me up about it on Monday and I had already sent an email.

18/11/2019 EM



Stakeholder **Karen Taylor**

Type: **Resident**

Interactio 16/11/2019

Topic Flyers incorrectly delivered

Karen emailed to complain about the delivery of leaflets...the internal letterboxes do not allow access, so the deliverer was leaving them outside. When Karen complained, the deliverer said it was Government information and had to be delivered. Karen put them next o the access door to the building and when she returned some time later, they had blown all over the street.

## Follow up

I emailed Karen and apologised. I promised to follow up

Due

18/11/2019

By AM

Done?



Karen emiled me back to thank us for responding and provided a contact for future deliveries to the Distillery

21/11/2019 KT



Stakeholder **Judy Kalnins**

Type: **Resident**

Interactio  Topic

Judy rang again, to clarify a few things...confirm the parking, identify the operator of the marina, talk about the Bays' Walk, ask about the house next door to the site. We had a nice chat.

Follow up Due By Done?

Stakeholder **Shane Knight** Type:

Interactio  Topic

Emailed Shane with revised plan (showing DB land needed) and to thank him for organising meeting last week.

Follow up Due By Done?   
AM

Stakeholder **Jens Schumaker** Type:

Interactio  Topic

Peter Kelaher: "Is this thre new marina we have been lobbying against?" A few comments in response. No follow up.

Follow up Due By Done?

Interactio 22/11/2019 Topic Sheds

Post from Peter Kelaher: The Boundary is now extended!" (Refers toi the construction sheds in the Dragon Boat area). No follow up

Follow up Due By Done?

Stakeholder Keith Johnson Type:

Interactio 22/11/2019 1:40:00 PM Topic Inquiries

Keith rang, having received our notification. He wanted to clarify who would own the marina and various aspects of public access to the boardwalk. He declined to leave details.

Follow up Due By Done?

Stakeholder Shane Knight Type: Dragon Boat Clubs

Interactio 26/11/2019 9:22:00 AM Topic Parking, access and crane

Shane emailed to say he'd had feedback that workers were accessing the site via the DB gate. He also asked about the crane--would it be present for 1 or 3 days?

Follow up Emailed Shane back to say I would chase up his queries and ensure the access stopped Due 26/11/2019 By AM Done?

Emailed Julian and let him know. (He was in a PCG meeting, so didn't resp[ond for a few hours) Due 26/11/2019 By AM Done?

Stakeholder Shane Knight Type: Dragon Boat Clubs

Interactio 26/11/2019 9:25:00 AM Topic Access

Shane emailed to say it was only feedback he'd received and wasn't sure it was going on... but was appreciative of the quick response.

**Follow up**

Julian had raised the access issue in the PCG and had said the contractors denied accessing the site from the DB gate, but he reiterated in the meeting that this MUST NOT happen.

Due 26/11/2019 By JB Done?

Stakeholder **Shane Knight** Type: **Dragon Boat Clubs**

Interactio 27/11/2019 2:37:00 PM Topic Removal of boats tied to fence

JB emailed to advise there are boats tied to the fence, near the water which require removal by 4/12 and asked that we contact DB NSW to have them remove.

**Follow up**

Emailed Shane re boat removal and he replied saying he would "get onto it".

Due 27/11/2019 By AM Done?

Shane emailed back stating that the "sailing boat and canoe" were not theirs. I spoke to JB re this and he said the contractors would simply cut the chains and move the boats as we didn't know to whom they belonged. He would instruct the contractors to do that with any boats still there on 4/12.

28/11/2019 JB

Stakeholder **Neville and Cushla Monk** Type: **REF Respondents**

Interactio 1/12/2019 Topic

Neville emailed to protest about the water being used for dust suppression as we had today, gone on Level 2 Water Restrictions. He suggested getting the water from the Harbour.

**Follow up**

Emailed Property (JB/DD) to seek a response from the contractor.

Due 4/12/2019 By AM Done?

Emailed Neville to explain the situation as per email from contractor. Neville emailed back the following day to say thanks for the response.

Stakeholder **Mary Mortimer** Type: **REF Respondents**

Interactio  Topic

Stakeholder is concerned about the character of the tenant. John Swadling rang and discussed with her. He explained that we would be administering the lease and any breach of conditions would be dealt with. MM asked if the lease was a public document. JS undertook to find out and get back to her.

**Follow up** JS to chase up whether lease is public and let MM know. **Due** 10/12/2019 **By** JS **Done?**

JS: just spoke with Mary Mortimer who was concerned about the character of the proposed tenant, BBM (she advised there was apparently some recent (public) knowledge regarding Mr Elias /BBM not complying with recent court directions/bail conditions? I advised that I was unaware of what she was

**Stakeholder** Shane Knight **Type:**

Interactio  Topic

Shane emailed to touch base and check on timings for the project (i.e. whether it was running to plan).

**Follow up** I called Shane just to check he was feeling alright with the project. He said everything was fine, he'd had no complaints and was literally just interested if the project was running to schedule. I said Yes. **Due** 18/02/2020 **By** AM **Done?**

**Stakeholder** Jens Schumaker **Type:**

Interactio  Topic

Post from Jens Schumacher: "Demountable containers have arrived. This is going to look real nice right in front of our doorstep and is going to be great for the property value in this area." Cushla Monk replied: "I think you'll find they've relocated the construction sheds." No follow up

**Follow up** **Due** **By** **Done?**

**Stakeholder** Mark Tietjen **Type:**

**Interactio**  Topic

Rang Mark to follow up his email. The issue for the Glebve Rowing Club is they row early in the morning (pre-dawn) and the piles are not well-lit, so they consider them a dangerous hazard. His understanding was that they would be consulted prior to construction work and that another course would be plotted for them. This was apparently in the DA (I will check this\_) but is not part of the Mod 3 requirements etc. I said I would do some research on the DA and organise a meeting with project team and Sydney Harbour team to discuss the way forward.

**Follow up** Due By Done?  
Check on DA conditions and create meeting 10/03/2020 AM

Held meeting with rowing reps from Glebe RC, SUBC, UG, Maritime 12/03/2020 AM

**Stakeholder**  Type:

**Interactio**  Topic

Kimmi posted to FB group that work had started at 6.15am today. She was wondering how to complain.

**Follow up** Due By Done?  
I posted to the FB Group with the project contact details. Kimmi responded with thanks, but nothing received by phone or email as at 11/3/20. 10/03/2020 AM

**Stakeholder**  Type:

**Interactio**  Topic

MM wrote to ED and Minister to complain about Joe Elias being given a lease on the new site at 5 Bank St. Was passed to Joe Pascuzzo (incorrectly) then found its way to Dave Doyle, via John Swadling.

**Follow up** Due By Done?  
DD requested meeting to discuss and develop a response. 11/03/2020 DD

Acting ED (Alex Barrell) responded and ministerial was answered 12/3/20. 12/03/2020 AM

Interactio 10/03/2020 Topic

Follow up	Due	By	Done?
			<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>

Stakeholder Mark Tietjen Type: BBRec Boating

Interactio 23/03/2020 Topic Construction lighting

Julian Burgess advised that Warning Lights have been placed on the outer piles and will remain in place until PC May /June 2020

Follow up	Due	By	Done?
Sent Mark an email advising this outcome	23/03/2020	AM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>

Stakeholder Paul James Type: Resident

Interactio 25/03/2020 Topic Noise

Email received by INSW on 25/3/20 (relayed to TfNSW on 3 /4): We are all being asked to work at home as much as possible during this difficult time. I think that this is very sensible advice under the circumstances. However, an anomaly with this is the construction work going on near our apartment block on Bank Street in Pyrmont, whoever the company is they are operating jackhammers constantly and it makes it very difficult to concentrate. It would seem logical under the circumstances that these works are temporarily halted at this stage to allow everyone an equal opportunity to do their jobs and exist under difficult circumstances. I also note that the contractors are not really practising the requirements of social distancing.

Follow up	Due	By	Done?
INSW passed complaint to TfNSW	3/04/2020	TC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
AM ascertained that we were to respond to clients	7/04/2020	AM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Interactio 25/03/2020 Topic Noise

Email: We are now in a partial lockdown and most people are working at home. Despite this the workers involved in the project on Banks Street continue to work. There are two problems with this. First, in light of social distancing requirements this work should stop immediately. It sends a very bad sign. This is not essential work and there is no reasons for this. Second, the noise level this week has been intolerable and certainly incompatible with working from home which is what most people in our building (ANTIAS) are trying to do

**Follow up** Due By Done?  
Response from INSW to stakeholder and obtained permission to pass to us and did so. 7/04/2020 TC

Our response was sent to stakeholder 10/04/2020 AM

Stakeholder Paul James Type: Resident

Interactio 10/04/2020 Topic Noise

Our response sent to Paul.

**Follow up** Due By Done?

Stakeholder Karen Taylor Type: Resident

Interactio 16/04/2020 Topic Clarification on plan

Email from Karen: Good morning,  
I am a Pyrmont resident.  
I would like some clarification about the discrepancy in what appears to be a roofing structure currently being undertaken at the Bank Street site.  
The approved plans do not indicate that a structure would be installed over the demountable officer, street access and the storage facility.

**Follow up** Due By Done?  
I explained there WAS a roof in the plans (always had been) though I did say that I didn't think it extended over the demountable. 18/04/2020 AM

Karen emailed back asking more questions about an area of the plan. I respoonded and cor rected my earlier mistake about the roof. The area she was referring to was public space. 21/04/2020 AM